



A LETTER TO THE EDITOR

A Comment on: F.K. Hwang, Y.M. Wang and  
J.S. Lee, ‘Sortability of Multi-Partitions’, Journal of  
Global Optimization 24 (2002), pp. 463–472

URIEL G. ROTHBLUM

Faculty of Industrial Engineering and Management, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel  
(e-mail: rothblum@ie.technion.ac.il.)

(Received 3 September 2003; accepted 14 October 2003)

**Abstract.** We correct a claim, made in [6], that the proof of the key result in [3] about the existence of a monotone optimal multi-partition was incomplete; further, we provide a sortability interpretation for the criticized step of that proof.

Consistency and sortability of properties of combinatorial objects provide a framework for generating objects that satisfy a prescribed property within a given class of objects, by iteratively moving within the class by making ‘local changes’. More specifically, consider a family  $F$  of combinatorial objects, a property  $Q$  that elements of  $F$  may have, and a statistics  $S$  over the elements of  $F$ . If  $S$  is guaranteed to decline under ‘local changes’ of elements in  $F$  that are aimed at acquiring  $Q$  in a ‘local sense’ while maintaining the affiliation in  $F$ , then it is possible to use an iterative process that will generate an element in  $F$  which ‘satisfies  $Q$  locally’. If property  $Q$  is consistent, that is, ‘local satisfiability’ suffices for ‘global satisfiability’, then such processes will generate objects in  $F$  that are guaranteed to satisfy  $Q$ . Sortability and consistency were introduced in the context of partitions over a one-dimensional parameter spaces in [4] (see [1] for a comprehensive study within this context). The approach was extended to partitions over multi-dimensional parameter spaces in [2] and to multi-partitions in [6].

Suppose that  $t$  and  $p$  are positive integers, and  $t$  types of items are to be assigned to  $p$  parts subject to prescribed specifications. Specifically, there are nonnegative integers  $\{n_{uj} : u = 1, \dots, t, j = 1, \dots, p\}$ . For each  $u = 1, \dots, t$ ,  $n_u \equiv \sum_{j=1}^p n_{uj}$  items, indexed  $1, \dots, n_u$ , of type  $u$  are to be assigned to parts indexed  $1, \dots, t$ , with  $n_{uj}$  items of type  $u$  assigned to part  $j$ . A *multi-partition* is such an assignment; formally, a multi-partition  $\pi$  is a collection  $\{\pi_{uj} : u = 1, \dots, t, j = 1, \dots, p\}$  where for each  $j$ ,  $\{\pi_{uj} : j = 1, \dots, p\}$  partitions  $\{1, \dots, n_u\}$  and for each  $u$  and  $j$ ,  $|\pi_{uj}| = n_{uj}$ . A multi-partition  $\pi$  is *monotone* if there exists a ranking  $j_1, \dots, j_p$  of  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  such that part  $j_1$  gets the first  $n_{uj_1}$  items of each type  $u$ , part  $j_2$  gets the next  $n_{uj_2}$  items

of each type  $u$ , and so on, until part  $j_p$  get the last  $n_{uj_p}$  items of each type  $u$ , that is, if  $\pi = \{\pi_{uj} : u = 1, \dots, t, j = 1, \dots, p\}$ , then  $\pi_{uj_s} = \{\sum_{r=1}^{s-1} n_{uj_r} + 1, \dots, \sum_{r=1}^s n_{uj_r}\}$  for each  $u = 1, \dots, t$  and  $s = 1, \dots, p$ .

Suppose that each item  $i$  of type  $u$  is associated with a real number  $0 < r_{ui} \leq 1$ ; without loss of generality we will assume that items are numbered so that

$$0 < r_{u1} \leq r_{u2} \leq \dots \leq r_{un_u} \leq 1 \quad \text{for each } u = 1, \dots, t. \quad (1)$$

Also, assume that a monotone (Boolean) function  $J: \{0, 1\}^P \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is given. For a multi-partition  $\pi = \{\pi_{uj} : u = 1, \dots, t, j = 1, \dots, p\}$  let

$$r(\pi)_j \equiv \prod_{u=1}^t \prod_{j \in \pi_{uj}} r_{ui} \quad \text{for each } j = 1, \dots, p.$$

and

$$R(\pi) \equiv \sum_{s \in \{0, 1\}^P} J(s) \left\{ \prod_{\{j: s_j=0\}} [1 - r(\pi)_j] \right\} \left\{ \prod_{\{j: s_j=1\}} r(\pi)_j \right\}.$$

It is claimed in [6] that the proof in [3] that there exists a monotone multi-partition  $\pi$  which maximizes  $R(\cdot)$  over all multi-partitions has a flaw as the statistics  $\sum_j \sum_u \max \pi_{uj}$  need not decline strictly as pairs of parts are rearranged iteratively (while preserving optimality). But, the argument in [3] made no such claim. The core of the argument in [3] lies in an inductive proof (Lemma 2) that considers a relaxation of the problem (removed later on) that requires that the inequalities in (1) hold strictly and that some item type  $w$  has  $n_{wj} > 0$  for each  $j$ . The adopted inductive hypothesis is that some parts  $j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}$  are ordered monotonically, in order, in some optimal multi-partition  $\pi$  (that is, the parts in  $j_1$  of all types are those with the smallest indices, those in  $j_2$  are the next ones, etc.). Let  $j_k$  be the (unique) index for which  $\pi_{wj_k}$  contains  $\sum_{r=1}^{k-1} n_{wj_r} + 1$ . With  $\pi'$  as the multi-partition that minimizes  $[\sum_u \max \pi_{uj_k}]$  over the optimal multi-partitions  $\tau$  that have parts  $j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}$  fixed in the above monotone arrangement and have  $\sum_{r=1}^{k-1} n_{wj_r} + 1 \in \tau_{wj_k}$ , it is argued that  $\pi'$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_k$  satisfy the inductive hypothesis with  $k$  replacing  $k-1$ . With  $k=p$ , the inductive hypothesis establishes that there exists a monotone optimal multi-partition. Essentially, the argument we just described shows that within the class of multi-partitions  $\tau$  that have parts  $j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}$  fixed in a monotone arrangement and have  $\sum_{r=1}^{k-1} n_{wj_r} + 1 \in \tau_{wj_k}$ , one can rearrange pairs of parts so as to reduce  $\sum_u \max \pi_{uj_k}$ . But, no claim is made in [3] about reducing  $\sum_j \sum_u \max \pi_{uj}$ ; in fact, examples in [6, Section 5] demonstrate that  $\sum_j \sum_u \max \pi_{uj}$  need not decline in the above process.

The approach followed in [3] (and explained in the preceding paragraph) can be cast as a sortability argument with the *lexicographic* statistics:

$$S(\pi) \equiv \left( \min_{j_1} \frac{\sum_u \max \pi_{uj_1}}{\sum_u n_{uj_1}}, \min_{j_1, j_2} \frac{\sum_u \max \pi_{uj_1} + \sum_u \max \pi_{uj_2}}{\sum_u n_{uj_1} + \sum_u n_{uj_2}}, \dots, \right. \\ \left. \min_{j_1, \dots, j_k} \frac{\sum_{r=1}^k \sum_u \max \pi_{uj_r}}{\sum_{r=1}^k \sum_u n_{uj_r}}, \dots, \min_{j_1, \dots, j_p} \frac{\sum_{r=1}^p \sum_u \max \pi_{uj_r}}{\sum_{r=1}^p \sum_u n_{uj_r}} \right).$$

where maxima over empty sets are defined as 0 and the assumption  $n_{uj} > 0$  for each  $j$  assures that no denominator is zero. In particular, note that  $S(\pi) = (1, \dots, 1)$  if and only if  $\pi$  is monotone. The essence of the proof in [3] is the demonstration that, when the inequalities in (1) are strict,  $S(\cdot)$  can be reduced (lexicographically) within the class of optimal multi-partitions which are not monotone by pairwise shuffling of parts. This is accomplished by using the fact [3, Corollary 1] that if there is an optimal multi-partition which is not  $(j_1, j_2)$ -monotone, then all partitions which are the result of shuffles among part  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  are optimal. This property depends on the convexity of the function  $g: (-\infty, 0]^p \rightarrow (0, 1]$  with

$$g(y) = \sum_{s \in \{0, 1\}^p} J(s) \left[ \prod_{\{i: s_i=0\}} (1 - e^{y_i}) \right] \left[ \prod_{\{i: s_i=1\}} e^{y_i} \right] \text{ for every } y \in (-\infty, 0]^p,$$

and does not extend to asymmetric Schur convex functions (see [5]). We further note that the removal of the assumptions that one item-type is required by all the parts and that the inequalities of (1) hold strictly are not standard ‘sortability arguments’ (as considered, for example, in [6]).

## References

1. Chang, G.J., Chen, F.L., Huang, L.L., Hwang, F.K., Nuan, S.T., Rothblum, U.G., Sun, I.F., Wang, J.W. and Yeh, H.G. (1999), Sortabilities of partition properties, *J. Combinatorial Optimization*, 2, 413–427.
2. Hwang, F.K., Lee, J.S., Liu, Y.C. and Rothblum, U.G. (2003), Sortability of vector partitions, *Discrete Mathematics*, 263, 129–142.
3. Hwang, F.K. and Rothblum, U.G. (1994), Optimality of monotone assemblies for coherent systems composed of series modules, *Operations Research*, 42, 709–720.
4. Hwang, F.K., Rothblum, U.G. and Yao, Y-C. (1996), Localizing combinatorial properties of partitions, *Discrete Mathematics*, 160, 1–23.
5. Hwang, F.K. and Rothblum, U.G. (1996), Directional-quasi-convexity, asymmetric Schur-convexity and optimality of consecutive partitions, *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 21, 540–554.
6. Hwang, F.K., Wang, Y.M. and Lee, J.S. (2002), Sortability of multi-partitions, *J. Global Optimization*, 24, 463–472.